

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pases and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10 071,464		02.07.2002	George L. Payet	7919RX*D3	109!	
27752	7590	07-08-2003				
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY				FXAMINER		

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE CINCINNATI, OH 45224

EXAMINER
BOYER, CHARLES I

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1751

DATE MAILED: 07.08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/071,464

Payet

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Charles Boyer

Art Unit **1751**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period 1	for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.								
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) ie application to beco	MONTHS fr me ABANDC	om the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) X .	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 22, 2	003						
2a) X	This action is FINAL . 2b) This act	ion is non-final						
3) 🗔	3). Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposi	tion of Claims							
4) X	Claim(s) 21 and 23-33			is/are pending in the application.				
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) 🗔	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.				
6) X	Claim(s) 21 and 23-33			is/are rejected.				
7) 🗔	Claim(s)	· · · ·		is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers								
9) []	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)[]	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	:a)[pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some* c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
	14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachm	-							
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO	-413) Paper No(s).				
2) No	stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Inf	ormal Patent	Application (PTO-152)				
3) [Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/071464

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to applicants' response received April 22, 2003. Claims 21 and 23-33 are currently pending.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 21 and 23-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-34 of U.S. Patent No. 6,375,685. Although

Application/Control Number: 10/071464 Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the essential elements of the claims, that is formaldehyde and silicone elastomer are identical.

3. Claims 21 and 23-33 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 5,885,303. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the essential elements of the claims, that is formaldehyde and silicone elastomer are identical.

Applicants' statement of willingness to file terminal disclaimers is acknowledged, however, as of this writing, no terminal disclaimers have been received.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The rejection of claims 21 and 23-33 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendrix et al, US 4,530,874 is withdrawn in view of applicants' response.
- 6. The rejection of claims 21 and 23-33 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alberts et al, US 4,464,506 is withdrawn in view of applicants' response.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/071464

Art Unit: 1751

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Boyer whose telephone number is (703) 308-2524. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If reasonable attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta, can be reached on (703) 308-4708. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-after-final amendments and (703) 872-9311 for after-final amendments.

July 1, 2003